UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/098,575	03/18/2002	Hisashi Nakagomi	220944US2	3219
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			PAN, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2435	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/098,575	NAKAGOMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSEPH PAN	2435	

		00021111741	2400
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY	/ FILED <u>28 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.
applic applic	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ation, applicant must timely file one of the following ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe ntinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C is:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
no	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A b event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la caminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b	ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fitme may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the significant part of the significant patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing t	he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) 🔲	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
(u)	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected ciaims.
4.	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
non-a	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be all llowable claim(s).	·	
how th The st Claim Claim Claim	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) he new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (s) allowed: (s) objected to: (s) rejected: 23,25-29,33 and 35-39. (s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation of
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu se applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ong a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The	request for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (r:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Kimyen Superviso	Vu/ ory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues:

"Therefore, Schneck fails to teach that the terminal further includes a user interface operable by the user, configured to allow the user to accept an incoming communication from the communication system. In Schneck, the communication from the send host 103 with the display 136 is outgoing to device 106." (see page 3, 2nd paragraph, Applicant's Arguments/Remarks). Examiner maintains:

Schneck discloses that both the sender and the receiver includes user interface operable by the user (see figure 1, element 136 'display device', 129 'user input', 176 'rec display device', 173 'receive host input', of Schneck).

Schneck further discloses "If the desired security configuration does not allow such changes, then the data link

will be rejected by the receive host 106. The actual security parameters are then displayed on the output display device 130 (FIG. 2), if the data stream is accepted by the receive host 106." (see column 8, lines 43-48, of Schneck). Therefore, Schneck discloses accepting the communication link or rejecting the communication link or rejecting the communication link or the receiver's side.

Additionally, Klein also discloses a user interface operable by the user, allowing a user to accept or reject a security level (see figure 9 of Kliein).

For purpose of appeal, claims 23, 25-29, 33, and 35-39 remain to be in the rejected status.